

computing tomorrow's solutions





SHARCNET Job Scheduling Policy and Requirements Strawman Document

2005/6

Overview

- High-level objectives
- Scheduling schematic
- Resource costing
- Fair access
- Job scheduling specifics
 - Test jobs
 - Serial
 - Parallel
- Technical requirements







General Policy Issues

Overall aim is to use all SHARCNET resources effectively & maximize user throughput

- System-wide accounting: user priorities determined from use of all SN facilities (by user, group or project)
- Appropriate cluster use, but avoid wasting cycles
 - SN2 architectures tailored to specific applications but all can run, e.g., serial jobs
- Contributors: pre-emptive access
- Batch operation: jobs normally run in batch mode throughput timescale ideally ~ f × runtime, f ≤ 1; allow testing & debugging
- Fair use: users should have similar probability of starting a job (at similar levels of use)
- Transparent accounting & feedback: procedures and information used to determine priority/fairshare, expected queue time etc. should be available to users





General Requirements

- "Queue-less" scheduling: treat systems as one flat resource, let scheduler handle job placement: improves efficiency and flexibility (really partition-less scheduling, cf. APAC document)
- Central database: records jobs across all SHARCNET
- SN-wide queuing:
 - Reference global user statistics to determine fairshare
 - Ability to manage global and local job submission including moving jobs, fault tolerance...
 - work towards "property" queues: serial, small parallel/threaded, licenced software etc.





Cost

- "Charge" for CPU time: could measure use of many other resources: memory, network, storage
- Normalised SHARCNET Cost/CPU-Hour (NSC): priorities/fair use determined by the NSC, function of real cpu-time and other factors:
 - User-adjusted priorities: users can choose to run/start at higher or lower priority and corresponding higher or lower NSC; ideally a user could dynamically adjust this priority (perhaps designate a single urgent job)
 - Dynamic cost: a job started as "urgent" at 3 × rate would drop to 1 × rate if machine became empty
 - Varying CPU power: charge less for less powerful CPUs primarily to encourage use of older systems
 - Incentives: discount NSC/actual to encourage appropriate/beneficial use:
 - Checkpointing (especially parallel jobs)
 - **Demonstrated efficiency** (scaling, fraction of node peak, effort etc.)
 - Certification
 - Research reporting
 - Dedicated time: runs at NSC/actual = 0 (perhaps accumulated at night, weekends etc. within 6-month window)





Fair Access

- Generally, once a job is started, it should run to completion: the priority assigned to a user or job affects its probability of starting
- Probability of a job starting should not depend on submission time. Starting probability:
 - Is decreased if a large number of that user's jobs is running
 - Is decreased if that user has accumulated a large NSC over some period*
 - Depends on user-assigned priorities
 - May depend on *dynamic "fairshare" averages: priority decreased for a day, week etc. if heavy use over a day, week etc.
- A user's fairshare state(s), starting probability and queue wait time should be available to them via the portal together with average wait times etc.
- Ability to modify user's priority depending upon group or project use





Test Jobs

Users should have ability to run test jobs (even on production clusters). Such jobs/queues should:

- Run quickly
- Be established to avoid misuse: higher NSC or allocation of test time
 - One test job at a time per user
- Need to ensure that test jobs do not orphan preempted jobs (a test job should pre-empt a job of the same size, perhaps preferentially a user's own job; any job that is pre-empted should ideally resume as before once the test job has completed)
- Ideally, such jobs should be flexibly and dynamically scheduled without the need for a special test queue of reserved processors



Job Scheduling

- Serial jobs: jobs normally run to completion; starting probability is determined as above (do not normally share resources with other equal priority jobs)
- Parallel jobs: challenge is to reserve sufficient processors to start job without idling those processors; simple pre-emption can leave stranded jobs
 - Reserve a second slot on a cpu for a pre-empting job and when this occurs, coarsely time slice between the two.
 - Allows pre-empted job to checkpoint resubmit/migrate
 - Duty cycle perhaps 50/50, but must ensure pre-empted job stops 80/20? In principle priority could affect duty cycle
 - Efficient scheduling probably requires power-of-2 no. of processors; scheduler should enforce correct no. of processors/node etc.
 - Cost should encourage scaling not merely many procs, suggest:

$$NSC := NSC \times T_{cpu} / \sqrt{N_{cpu}}$$



Technical Requirements

- Direct access to and control of job starting and placement mechanisms
- Ability to dynamically adjust priority of job (for users and system – cf. nice)
- Ability to do coarse-grained time-slicing (suspend/resume)
- Detailed control of priority/fairshare calculation
- Ability to access system accounting tables and generate SHARCNET-wide data





